

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JASON TINSLEY,  
a/k/a "Floss,"  
JEROME REED,  
a/k/a "Pops,"  
RAKIM MAYO,  
a/k/a "Bo,"  
THOMAS RYAN,  
GARY BURKETT,  
MIESHA CATO,

Defendants.

**SEALED INDICTMENT**

24 Cr. 632

**COUNT ONE**  
**(Conspiracy to Distribute Narcotics)**

The Grand Jury charges:

1. From in or around April 2024 through in or around November 2024, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, JASON TINSLEY, a/k/a "Floss," JEROME REED, a/k/a "Pops," RAKIM MAYO, a/k/a "Bo," THOMAS RYAN, GARY BURKETT, MIESHA CATO, the defendants, and others known and unknown, knowingly and intentionally combined, conspired, confederated, and agreed together and with each other to violate the controlled-substance laws of the United States.

2. It was a part and an object of the conspiracy that JASON TINSLEY, a/k/a "Floss," JEROME REED, a/k/a "Pops," RAKIM MAYO, a/k/a "Bo," THOMAS RYAN, GARY BURKETT, MIESHA CATO, the defendants, and others known and unknown, would and did distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

3. The controlled substances involved in the offense were (i) five kilograms and more of mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of cocaine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(A), and (ii) 40 grams and more of mixtures and substances containing a detectable amount of fentanyl, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(B).

(Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.)

**FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS**

4. As a result of committing the offense alleged in Count One of this Indictment, JASON TINSLEY, a/k/a “Floss,” JEROME REED, a/k/a “Pops,” RAKIM MAYO, a/k/a “Bo,” THOMAS RYAN, GARY BURKETT, MIESHA CATO, the defendants, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, any and all property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of said offense and any and all property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of, said offense, including but not limited to a sum of money in United States currency representing the amount of proceeds traceable to the commission of said offense.

**SUBSTITUTE ASSETS PROVISION**

5. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants:

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

(Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.)



FOREPERSON



DAMIAN WILLIAMS  
United States Attorney